

Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition

Transportation Research Board

Learning and Applying the Methods and Models of the HCM

A Short Course Day #3



Traffic Operations at Intersections Learning and Applying the Models and Methods of the Highway Capacity Manual Using Simplified Scenarios and Computational Engines

Michael Kyte and Rod Troutbeck

Topics for today

- Check-in
- Some perspective and context
- Diving in: Exploring the simplified scenarios
- The other scenarios
- Check-out and closure

Topics for today

- Check-in
- Some perspective and context
- Diving in: Exploring the simplified scenarios
- The other scenarios
- Check-out and closure

Classification of models



Difficulty of use

Adapted from "Traffic Signal Timing Manual"

4

| Computational: | Simulation: | |
|--|---|--|
| Directly computes results from equations or tables | Tracks events and processes | |
| Empirical: | Analytical: | |
| Based on field data | Based on theory | |
| Deterministic: | Stochastic: | |
| Produces same result for given set of inputs | Results can vary based on statistical distributions | |
| Microscopic: | Macroscopic: | |
| Individual driver decisions | Aggregated flow characteristics | |
| Event scan: | Time scan: | |
| Based on status of events of interest | Updates made every time step | |
| Evaluation: | Optimization: | |
| Performance data produced | Objective function optimized based on performance | |
| | data | |

HCM Traffic Analysis Tools

| Computational. | Simulation | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |
| Directly computes results from equations or tables | Tracks events and processes | |
| | | |
| Empirical: | Analytical: | |
| Based on field data | Based on theory | |
| | | |
| Deterministic: | Stochastic: | |
| Produces same result for given set of inputs | Results can vary based on statistical distributions | |
| 5 1 | | |
| | Macroscopic: | |
| Microscopic: | Macroscopic: | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions Event scan: | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics Time scan: | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions Event scan: Based on status of events of interest | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics Time scan: Updates made every time step | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions Event scan: Based on status of events of interest | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics Time scan: Updates made every time step | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions Event scan: Based on status of events of interest Evaluation: | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics Time scan: Updates made every time step Optimization: | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions Event scan: Based on status of events of interest Evaluation: Performance data produced | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics Time scan: Updates made every time step Optimization: Objective function optimized based on performance | |
| Microscopic: Individual driver decisions Event scan: Based on status of events of interest Evaluation: Performance data produced | Macroscopic: Aggregated flow characteristics Time scan: Updates made every time step Optimization: Objective function optimized based on performance | |

VISSIM microsimulation model

From HCM Chapter 19:

The motorized vehicle methodology does not account for the effect of the following conditions on intersection operation:

- Turn bay overflow
- Multiple advance detectors in the same lane
- Demand starvation due to a closely spaced upstream intersection
- Queue spillback into the subject intersection from a downstream intersection
- Queue spillback from the subject intersection into an upstream intersection
- Premature phase termination due to short detection length, passage time, or both
- Right-turn-on-red (RTOR) volume prediction or resulting right-turn delay
- Turn movements served by more than two exclusive lanes
- Delay to traffic movements that are not under signal control
- Through lane (or lanes) added just upstream of the intersection or dropped just downstream of the intersection
- Storage of shared-lane left-turning vehicles within the intersection to permit bypass by through vehicles in the same lane



From HCM Chapter 19:

In addition to the above conditions, the methodology does not directly account for the following controller functions:

- Rest-in-walk mode for actuated and non-coordinated phases
- Preemption or priority modes
- Phase overlap (see discussion in text)
- Gap reduction or variable initial settings for actuated phases



Topics for today

- Check-in
- Some perspective and context
- Diving in: Exploring the simplified scenarios
 - Scenario 4.3 permitted LTs
 - Scenario 4-6 upstream signals
- The other scenarios
- Check-out and closure



The Big Picture

- Permitted LTs must wait for suitable headways in the opposing traffic stream.
- The saturation flow rate for permitted LTs is lower than for protected LTs.
- Part of the green that could be available for permitted LTs is not because of the clearing of the opposing queue.

Terms We Will Use

- Permitted LT phasing
- Exclusive LT lane
- Opposing queue















 g_u = unsaturated green for subject approach after clearance of second queue

•

•

•

17



- g_f = time until arrival of first subject LT vehicle
- g_{so} = queue service time for opposing queue
- g_q = time for second subject queue to clear
- g_u = unsaturated green for subject approach after clearance of second queue





The Big Picture

- Permitted LTs must wait for suitable headways in the opposing traffic stream.
- The saturation flow rate for permitted LTs is lower than for protected LTs.
- Part of the green that could be available for permitted LTs is not because of the clearing of the opposing queue.



Topics for today

- Check-in
- Some perspective and context
- Diving in: Exploring four simplified scenarios
 - Scenario 4.3 permitted LTs
 - Scenario 4-6 upstream signals
- The other scenarios
- Check-out and closure



Terms We Will Use

- Offset
- Arrival flow profile
- Departure flow profile
- Time step
- Average travel time
- Queue size

The Big Picture

- We've previously assumed uniform arrivals.
- What happens if there is an upstream signal affecting the arrival pattern by creating platoons?
- How do we model a dispersing platoon traveling from one intersection to the next?
- How does the departure flow profile at the upstream intersection transition to the arrival flow profile at the downstream intersection?
- What is the signal offset?







Example Calculation 4-18. Calculating the Arrival Pattern at the Downstream Intersection



- Arrival flow rate is 600 veh/hr
- Intersection spacing is 1000 ft
- C = 60 sec
- g/C = 0.5
- s = 1900 veh/hr
- Average vehicle speed = 25 mi/hr or 36.75 ft/sec

 $g_s = \frac{vr}{s - v} = \frac{(600\frac{veh}{hr})(30 \, sec)}{1900\frac{veh}{hr} - 600\frac{veh}{r}} = 13.8 \, sec$ $t_R = \frac{distance}{average \ travel \ speed} = \frac{1000 \ ft}{36.75 \ ft/sec} = 27.2 \ sec$ $F = \frac{1}{1.315 + 0.138t_{R}} = \frac{1}{1.315 + (0.138)(27.2)} = 0.197$ -(u) $t' = t_R - \frac{1}{F} + 1.25 = 27.2 - \frac{1}{0.197} + 1.25 = 23 sec$

Example Calculation 4-18. Calculating the Arrival Pattern at the Downstream Intersection

26



27



$$q_{d,i} = Fq_{u,i-t'} + (1-F)q_{d,i-1}$$

 $q_{d,54} = Fq_{u,31} + (1 - F)q_{d,53}$ $q_{d,54} = (0.197)(1900) + (0.803)(0) = 375 \text{ veh/hr}$ $q_{d,55} = Fq_{u,32} + (1 - F)q_{d,54}$

 $q_{d,55} = (0.197)(1900) + (0.803)(375) = 676 \text{ veh/hr}$

| | Time step (sec) | Upstream departure flow rate (veh/hr) | Downstream arrival flow rate (veh/hr) | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| - | 1-30 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | 31-43 | 1900 | 0 | | | |
| | 44-53 | 600 | 0 | | | |
| | 54 | 600 | 375 | | | |
| | 55 | 600 | 676 | | | |
| r | 56 | 600 | 917 | | | |
| | 57 | 600 | 1111 | | | |
| | 58 | 600 | 1267 | | | |
| | 59 | 600 | 1392 | | | |
| | 60 | 600 | 1492 | | | |



















| Arrival Type | Progression Quality | Proportion Arriving During Green | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Very poor | .17 | |
| 2 | Unfavorable | .33 | |
| 3 | Random (or uniform) arrivals | .50 | |
| 4 | Favorable | .67 | |
| 5 | Highly favorable | .83 | |
| 6 | Exceptionally favorable | 1.00 | |



35



The Big Picture

- We've previously assumed uniform arrivals.
- What happens if there is an upstream signal affecting the arrival pattern by creating platoons?
- How do we model a dispersing platoon traveling from one intersection to the next?
- How does the departure flow profile at the upstream intersection transition to the arrival flow profile at the downstream intersection?
- What is the signal offset?



Topics for today

- Check-in
- Some perspective and context
- Diving in: Exploring the simplified scenarios
- The other scenarios
- Check-out and closure

| Intersection Control | Scenario | Conditions | Illustration |
|-------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| AWSC intersections | 2-1. Calculating the capacity of each lane for an intersection of two one-lane one-way streets | Two one-way streets TH movements | |
| | 2-2. Calculating the capacity of each lane for a standard 4-leg intersection | Four approaches TH movements | |

Scenario 4-5

- Pretimed control
- Demand>capacity
- TH movements
- Uniform arrivals
- Isolated



Figure 4-67. Flow profile diagram for Example Calculation 4-17

Scenario 4-5

- Pretimed control
- Demand>capacity
- TH movements
- Uniform arrivals
- Isolated



Figure 4-68. Cumulative vehicle diagram for Example Calculation 4-17







Figure 4-82. Headway, occupancy time, and unoccupancy time

Topics for today

- Check-in
- Some perspective and context
- Diving in: Exploring the simplified scenarios
- The other scenarios
- Check-out and closure

Final Questions

